IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE

ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

WRIT PETITION NO :19001 of 1995

-

-

-

Dated: 14th June 2006.

-

Between:

Smt. M.Papamma

..... PETITIONER

AND

The District Collector, Chittoor and others

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

-

-

W.P.NO.19001 OF 1995

-

-

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is field with the prayer as follows:

> " For the reasons and in the circumstances stated in the accompanying affidavit, the petitioner herein prays in the interest of Justice, that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction, more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the
> 2nd respondent, in directing the
> 3rd respondent, to delete the name of the petitioner from the No.2 Adangal and other Revenue records relating to an extent of Ac.3.83 cents Dry in Survey No.33/6 of Kondakindapalle Village Accounts without notice or without giving any reasonable opportunity to the petitioner and further declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in directing the 4th respondent not to grant any Police aid contrary to the orders of the competent Civil Court in I.A.Nos.825 and 826/92 in O.S.No.86/92 on the file of the Court of the Subordinate Judge, Puttur, and totally contrary to the orders of the Hon'ble Court in W.P.M.P.No. 21384/92 in W.P.No. 16860/92 dt.26-2-1993, as wholly arbitrary, highly illegal, unjust and unconstitutional, being violative of Arts.14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and is also violative of principles of natural justice, and contrary to the Board Standing Orders and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 3, not to alter or change the Revenue records relating to the said lands and further direct the
> 4th respondent, to grant Police aid to the petitioner in pursuance of the orders of the Hon'ble Civil Court in I.A.No.825 and 826/92 in O.S.No.86/92 to the petitioner, and pass such other and further order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

The petitioner claims that he encroached certain piece of land, some twenty years ago, the details of which are not necessary for the present adjudication. Complaining that he was sought to be evicted by the respondents, he filed O.S.No.86 of 1992, on the file of the Subordinate Judge (presently, Senior Civil

Judge), Puttur and obtained interim injunction in I.A.Nos. 825 and 826 of 1992. In the present writ petition, it is the grievance of the petitioner that subsequent to his obtaining the interim injunction, the 2nd respondent directed the 3rd respondent to tamper with No.2 Adangal by deleting the name of the petitioner and substituting the names of the respondents No.5 to 8, as if they have been in actual possession of the said property and seeks the relief such as the one sought in the writ petition.

In my view, the writ petition is wholly misconceived. The allegation, such as the one made in the writ petition, requires an investigation into the facts, for which the Forum, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is wholly ill-suited.

The writ petition is without merits and therefore, the same is dismissed. No costs.

J.Chelameswar, J

mrk

14th June 2006.